Gothic Furniture Design: Before and After Pugin

Masahiko SUZUKI

Augustus Welby Northmore Pugin (1812-1852) was the most passionate master of the “Gothic Revival” in England in the nineteenth century. The term “Gothic Revival” is fundamentally applied to the Gothic architectural style in the Victorian Age, but culturally, it includes not only architecture but also earlier romantic literature like Horace Walpole’s “Gothic Romance”, and such movements as picturesque landscape gardening represented in English garden designs of William Kent. This is a long and pervasive cultural movement which developed in the Romantic period (1790-1830) and flowered through the long Victorian period.

Before Pugin, it was the age of Neoclassicism in England, though there were early Gothic Revival architects, like Sir Roger Newdigate (1719-1806) who was in charge of the construction of Arbury Hall in Warwickshire. This is a former Augustinian monastery restored by him and the father of the English novelist, George Eliot, used to be a butler in this mansion house. As for furniture, the Chippendales contributed much to furniture production, especially Thomas Chippendale II (1718-1779) who was the most famous and prolific furniture designer and cabinet maker of the age. He made much use of imported mahogany from Cuba and India from the 1720’s onward. This period is generally termed “the age of later mahogany” or “the later Georgian style”. Mahogany was an elegant and expensive wood in those days, beginning to be much used in various forms of furniture, from French rococo through gothic to the next chinoisry style. He also published the very influential Gentleman and Cabinet-Maker’s Director (1754, 1755 1762), by which he is nowadays recognized as “essentially a collector and talented modifier of already existing styles, notably rococo” (NEB: 3-244). But the rococo style imported from France didn’t remain popular in England as long as it did in France. Elegant and refined as rococo is, British furniture designers and makers slowly started to return to the classical, traditional and native style of essential British furniture.

The most important figure in this Neoclassical field, Robert Adam (1728-92), an architect and interior designer among the four famous Adam brothers, went on a grand tour of Italy for four years in 1754 and was much influenced by classical models in art, afterwards applying this to architecture resulting in the evolution of “Palladian Neoclassicism in England into the airy, light elegant style that bears his name” (NEB:1-77). With furniture too, his style is neoclassical, refined and elegant, with much use of satinwood as well as mahogany, as beautifully exhibited in the Kenwood and Harewood Houses.

George Hepplewhite (-1786) and Thomas Sheraton (1751-1806) were also Neoclassical furniture designers much influenced by Adam. Hepplewhite was especially noted for chairs with shield-backs, heart-backs and
camel-backs. Simplicity, elegance and utility are characteristics of his designs. After his
death, his wife published Cabinet-Maker and
Upholsterer's Guide (1788). Sheraton, on the
other hand, designed smaller and more useful
furniture, like extension-style dining tables,
which were very useful and practical for
urban life in the industrial cities with their
growing populations. He generally pursued
and aimed for the lightness and delicacy in
furniture. His furniture is well presented in
his Cabinet-Maker's and Upholsterer's Draw-
ing Book (1719).

The next style, Regency, which lasted
through the long reigns of George III and IV,
produced much furniture affordable to the
rising middle class after the Industrial Revo-
lution. Thomas Hope (1768-1831) and George
Smith are representatives of this style.
vigorous archeological trends in England
were best personified by gifted Thomas
Hope” who wrote both In Household Furni-
ture and Interior Decoration (1807) which
demonstrates the Empire English or Regency
Style, and an exotic novel, Anastasius, a Don
Juan; the prose in this work might well have
been envied by George Gordon, Lord Byron.

While Neoclassicism was dominant in
England in those days, the Gothic style gradua-
ally began to gain popularity in design and
architecture and to become accepted in litera-
ture. Horace Walpole (1717-1797), the third
son of Robert Walpole and builder of Straw-
berry Hill, the first Gothic Revival house,
wrote the first gothic novel, The Castle of
Otranto (1764). To say nothing of the story
itself, Strawberry Hill, which is character-
ized by its irregularity and asymmetry, in this
novel becomes the center of attention. Wil-
liam Beckford (1761-1844), the writer of
another Gothic novel, Vathek (1787), built
Fonthill Abbey, also designed by James
Wyatt (1764-1813). Both novelists, though
dilettantes, were forerunners of the Gothic
Revival and built their Gothic houses by fol-
lowing their own fertile but unusual imagina-
tions, without depending on orthodox build-
ing theory. They had only to satisfy their own
visual desires as they welled up from their
imaginations. As C. Brooks points out, “Goth-
ic fiction’s divorce from Neoclassicism is
here most absolute, because rationality itself
is abandoned” (1999: 114). Imagination
released from reason was encouraging both
the Romantic writings and the Gothic build-
ings of the forthcoming new age. According
to K. Clark, the Romantic movement in litera-
ure and its own standard of criticism had
already been established and accepted by
Pugin's time.

Pugin’s father, A. C. Pugin (c.1769-1832),
was a French immigrant architect who fled
from the French Revolution and was em-
ployed by John Nash (1752-1835) for his
ability in draughting. Nash was in those days
the greatest architect and London City plan-
der under George IV and, according to K.
Clark, hated the Gothic style, because he was
quite ignorant of what he thought of as a
troublesome style. So A. C. Pugin was to
study Gothic design and became an authority
on it, working on the interior of Windsor
Castle. His son, A. W. N. Pugin, also began
to work with his father and for the first time
at the age of only fifteen designed furniture
for the Royal Household at Windsor Castle,
thus establishing his career as an architect
and designer with a strong passion for the
Gothic style. He began to take an interest in
architecture but it was in 1835, at the age of
twenty-three, that he converted to the Roman
Catholic Church, after he was inspired by a
love for the Gothic by his father.

Religion was much more essential, not to
say indispensable, to him than art or artcraft. For him, architecture must be religious. The true style of the Catholic church architecture was Gothic because of its verticality, accuracy, and faithfulness of design. This was his eternal architectural principle for his Gothic designs.

Before his conversion, the Roman Catholic Church was very small and weak around the end of the Eighteenth Century but the ‘Catholic Emancipation’ declared by the British Parliament in 1829 increased the number of Catholic believers, including Irish immigrants into England because of the famine and the Church made great contributions to charity to relieve their plight. This must have required many Roman Catholic parish churches for the poor and their faith in England, whose many designs Pugin commissioned.

Pugin and Sir Charles Barry were appointed as designers of the New Palace of Westminster after the chapel and most of the buildings were destroyed by fire in 1834. This was a practical opportunity for him to rebuild and redesign it with Gothic decorations and sculptures, which Nathaniel Hawthorne later praised as “gravely gorgeous.” It was completed in 1852.

Pugin’s most important book on architecture was The True Principles of Pointed or Christian Architecture (1841). In this he put emphasis on ‘Truth’ which was symbolized by ‘spiritual beliefs’ and ‘the quality of design’. His idea was that they “had produced artistic brilliancy during the Gothic period” (Aldrich 1994: 152).

Similarly, E. Panofsky, in his Gothic Architecture and Scholasticism also defines the High Gothic cathedral as follows (1957: 44-45).

...the High Gothic cathedral aimed, first of all, at “totality” and therefore tended to approximate, by synthesis as well as elimination, one perfect and final solution; ...the High Gothic cathedral sought to embody the whole of Christian knowledge, theological, moral, natural, and historical, with everything in its place and that which no longer found its place, suppressed.

Gothic churches are architectures through which the invisible idea of God, the Christian view of the ideal world, is symbolized. Panofsky emphasizes the religious totality of the Gothic.

Further, Pugin’s contemporaries, G. E. Street, William Butterfield and William Burges are High Victorian Gothic architects and all of them tried to make modern the Gothic, but it was John Ruskin (1819-1900), the great Victorin author, artist, critic, social revolutionaryist and conservationist: he was by far most influenced by Pugin; though Protestant, not Catholic, he succeeded in making popular the Gothic. As a result of travelling through Italy, he produced the essay ‘The Nature of Gothic’ in the seventh chapter of his The Stones of Venice (Morris 1989: 119).

I believe that the characteristic or moral element of Gothic are the following, placed in the order of their importance:

1. Savageness. 2. Changefulness.
5. Rigidity. 6. Redundance.

These characters are here expressed as belonging to the building; as belonging to the builder, they would be expressed thus:

1. Savageness or Rudeness.
2. Love of Change.
4. Disturbed Imagination.
5. Obstinacy.

Here Ruskin is admiring the Gothic rather than the classical, pursuing the salvation of the soul in the Gothic arising from "savage-ness", a German tribal spirit — wild Nature, which, though formerly considered to be a defect of the Gothic, began to be regarded as beautiful, or further, a proper aesthetic. This is represented in the totality of ornamental design and structure created by the nameless artisans who laboured on the great cathedrals in the Medieval Age, which was to be praised and imitated by William Morris in 'The Arts and Crafts Movement'. Pugin had already expressed his idea of the artistic totality of nature in True Principle (Atterbury & Wainwright 1994: 136).

...the smallest detail should have a meaning or serve a purpose ...there should be no features about a building [or its furniture] which are not necessary for convenience, construction, or propriety. ...moreover the architects of the Middle ages were the first who turned the natural proprieties of the various materials to their full account, and made their mechanism a vehicle for their art.

Craftsmanship becomes a key aesthetic value and criterion for Ruskin and Morris, in contrast to the shoddy mass-produced goods available with industrialization. Ruskin’s notion that “There is no wealth but life” in Unto This Last (1877) affirms the Gothic view of life in the sick and materialistic Victorian Age.

Pugin designed much furniture, and the style varies according to its usage and pur-
pose. In 1835, he published Gothic Furniture in the Style of the Fifteenth Century, a collection of his Gothic furniture designs. Generally, his furniture for churches has a grand perpendicular style with a solemn religious beauty or sublimity, which shows "Pugin’s affinity to French and Flemish Flamboyant Gothic design" (Aldrich 1994: 173). Much of his domestic furniture designed for other houses was elaborate in style, while the pieces designed for his own house are simple in style. This anticipates the arrival of elegant curving lines of nouveau design or the simpler straight lines of art deco. His simpler designs were quite popular and were much copied and reproduced by other domestic furniture makers like Grace, Myers, Gillow and Holland, who were Pugin’s main furniture firms.

Some characteristics of Pugin’s furniture are summarised as follows:
- generally massive and brutal style mainly made of oak
- the Jacobean style produced at his own firm at Covent Garden (1829~1831)
- ‘unreformed’ Gothic with sophisticated new designs often made of rosewood nearer to the former ‘Regency style’
- the Tudor style as seen in his Gothic Furniture (1835)
- the ‘Reformed Gothic’ style; the much plainer Gothic style after 1835 and handed down to B. Talbert and Gillow
- simplicity as in medieval monastic furniture
- the use of various curved and X-shaped structural devices for chairs and tables
- elaborate curving and veneering with polychromatic marquetry for several country houses especially after 1840 (Cooper 1998: 100/Gere & Whiteway 1994: 41-42)
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Bruce Talbert (1838-1881), a Pugin follower, was one of designers of the Reformed Gothic, the newly fashionable Gothic style, in the 1870's, and his furniture is generally "less ecclesiastical and a bit more domesticated" (Andrews 1992: 29) than Pugin's and was usually produced at Gillows & Co. His excellent 'Pericles' Gothic cabinet, for example, made of oak and fruitwood with marquetry inlay and brass hinges, now in a private collection, won a Grand Prix at the Paris Exhibition in 1867. There is a Shakesperean Pericles scene on the pediment, which conveys a rather ceremonial theatricality and dramatic atmosphere. It is really a fine work of art, but much more domestic and less rigid than Pugin's. This was a new development in Master Pugin's design which is sure to suit future furniture collectors. This is almost a turning point in furniture design. After the 1870's, a striking Modernism in furniture began to flourish, giving birth to the designs of William de Morgan, William Morris, the founder of the 'Arts and Crafts Movement', T. E. Collcutt and Frank Lloyd Wright, etc. This subsumes 'the Aesthetic Movement' in furniture with its spindled galleries, decorative boards and bevelled mirrors; further, art nouveau display cabinets or sideboards with much decorative inlay of floral motifs, and the art deco style cabinets with straight lines are also a part of this trend. These elaborate and skillful designs and styles were much influenced by Pugin.

For Pugin the European world was based upon the Christian faith, but after the Renaissance it was replaced by Neoclassicism which he expressed in Contrasts (1841) as a decline, or more emphatically, "a heresy". In reality, he brought Gothic architecture and design back to life from the dominant Neoclassicism by creating various kinds of furniture design, shortening his life through overwork. His life was certainly short lasting only forty years, but thereby he lived at the beginning of a time of great social and technological change. The movement he began continued under the reigns of four monarchs: George III, George IV, William IV, and Victoria. But Pugin's Gothic passion was full of the Christian principle which created simple, ornamental, and aesthetic furniture. This is a British heritage and will be sure to become an essential basis of design today and in the future.
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